Экспериментальное и теоретическое исследование эффектов встречи круглых пучков И.А.Кооп, ИЯФ СО РАН

IV Черенковковские чтения: "Новые методы в экспериментальной ядерной физике и физике частиц" 12 Апреля 2011 г., ФИАН, Москва

Outline of the talk

- From VEPP-2M to VEPP-2000
- Motivation of round colliding beams
- Options of round beams at VEPP-2000
- Beam diagnostics and results of dynamic aperture study
- Orbit and optics tuning using SVD-analysis
- Beam-beam experiments
- Weak-strong simulations
- Future work



- VEPP-2M collider: 0.36-1.4 GeV in c.m., L≈3•10³⁰ 1/cm²s at 1 GeV
- Detectors CMD-2 and SND: \approx 60 pb⁻¹ collected in 1993-2000
- All major hadronic modes are measured:

e+e- $\rightarrow 2\pi$, 3π , 4π , KK, ... e+e- $\rightarrow \rho$, ω , ϕ

Still a lot of data to analyze!

VEPP-2000 complex



Cartoon view of VEPP-2000 Collider



Increasing of Luminosity

Number of bunches (i.e. collision frequency)
 Bunch-by-bunch luminosity

✓ Geometric factor: $1 + \sigma_x / \sigma_x^2 = 4$ ✓ Beam-beam limit enhancement: $\xi \ge 0.1$ ✓ Low beta-function: $\beta^* = 2 \div 10 \text{ cm}$ ✓ IBS for low energy? better life time!

The Concept of Round Colliding Beams

- Angular momentum conservation! $M_z = x'y xy'$ • Small and equal β -functions at IP: $\beta_x = \beta_y$ • Equal beam emittances: Why? $\epsilon_x = \epsilon_y$ • Equal betatron tunes: how close in reality? $v_x = v_y$
- Small and positive fractional tunes

(V.V.Danilov et al., EPAC'96, Barcelona, p.1149, (1996))

Vertical size dependence on beam-beam parameter ξ



I.Nesterenko, D.Shatilov, E.Simonov, in Proc. of Mini-Workshop on "Round beams and related concepts in beam dynamics", Fermilab, December 5-6, 1996

ξ

«flat» - «round»



Lattice



Main Parameters of VEPP-2000

Circumference	24.38 m
RF frequency	172 MHz
RF voltage	100 kV
RF harmonic number	14
Momentum compaction	0.036
Synchrotron tune	0.0035
Energy spread	6.4 x 10 ⁻⁴
Beam emittances (in the round mode)	1.29 x 10⁻⁷ m rad
Dimensionless damping decrements (x,y,s)	2.19 x 10 ⁻⁵ , 2.19 x 10 ⁻⁵ , 4.83 x 10 ⁻⁵
Betatron tunes	4.05, 2.05
Betatron functions at IP	10 cm
Number of bunches per beam	1
Number of particles per bunch	1 x 10 ¹¹
Beam-beam parameter (x,y)	0.075, 0.075
Luminosity per IP (at 1 GeV)	$1 \ge 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

(1.10³¹cm⁻²s⁻¹ at 2E=1 GeV achieved, 21.01.2008)

Physical program at VEPP-2000

- 1. Precise measurement of the quantity $R=\sigma(e+e^{--} > hadrons) / \sigma(e+e^{--}) + \mu^{--})$
- 2. Study of hadronic channels: e+e⁻⁻ > 2h, 3h, 4h ..., h= π ,K, η
- 3. Study of 'excited' vector mesons: ρ' , ρ'' , ω' , ϕ' ,...
- 4. CVC tests: comparison of $e+e^{--}$ > hadr. (T=1) cross section with τ -decay spectra
- 5. Study of nucleon-antinucleon pair production nucleon electromagnetic form factors, search for NNbar resonances, ..
- 6. Hadron production in 'radiative return' (ISR) processes
- 7. Two photon physics
- 8. Test of the QED high order processes 2->4,5

Solenoid 13.0 T



В,Т









Single mode RF cavity 172 MHz



VEPP-2000 Luminosity, 23.01.2008, half-length solenoids, {nu}=0.125, beta=4.5 cm, ksi=0.08



VEPP-2000 23.01.08, 22.00



Round beam observation by CCD-cameras

e+ beam images at 3 different places



Pick-up diagnostics



0.0621870. 0.0399673

Beam size measurement by CCD cameras

Correction of VEPP-2000 optics done by RM-analysis+SVD, A.L.Romanov



Dispersion measurement by CCD + pickups



Dynamic aperture scan



Threshold current dependence on tune



Threshold current dependence on beta



"Strong-Strong" Beam-Beam Simulations



Beam size and luminosity vs. the nominal beam-beam parameter (A. Valishev, E. Perevedentsev, K. Ohmi, *PAC'2003*)

VEPP-2000 Luminosity Studies



NIM A449 (2000) 125-139

SND at VEPP-2000



1 – beam pipe, 2 – tracking system, 3 – aerogel, 4 – NaI(Tl) crystals, 5 – phototriodes, 6 – muon absorber, 7–9 – muon detector, 10 – focusing solenoid.

(10) 80 100 cm 40 60

Upgrades:

 1- cherenkov counter, n=1.05, 1.13 – e/πseparation E<450 MeV, π/K separation E<1 GeV,
 2 –drift chamber – better tracking,
 3- time of flight in EMC

SND view at VEPP-2000



The first VEPP-2000 luminosity was measured in December 2007

MHAD10 experiment , e+e- >p pbar event, 1900 MeV





e+e- > p pbar, 2E=1900 MeV, cross section estimate





- 1 vacuum chamber
- 2 drift chamber
- 3 electromagnetic calorimeter BGO
- 4 Z chamber
- 5 CMD SC solenoid



- 6 electromagnetic calorimeter LXe
- 7 electromagnetic calorimeter CsI
- 8 yoke
- 9 VEPP-2000 solenoid



Even small statistics written in the beginning of experiments allows one to improve substantially the existing accuracy in 4π production for 2E>1.4 GeV

Practical Realization of Round Beams: Options for VEPP-2000



Working point for different options



Arguments in favor of work on a coupling resonance

- Advantages of (+-+-) option as compared to the "basic mode" (++,-,-):
- 1) Easy switch between flat and round modes of colliding beams
- 2) Better sextupole solution, hope for wider dynamic aperture

Disadvantages not yet known

The strong-strong simulation (in progress) may show some problems in beam-beam behavior with high beam-beam parameter (~ 0.1 is needed)

This circular-mode option has been experimentally tested Weak-strong and strong-strong measurements have been done

Dynamic aperture simulations

1) Comparison of DA for "flat" and "2-möbius" solenoids polarity.

2) Identic lattice with $\beta^* = 8.5$ cm, $\{v\} = 0.128$.

3) Solenoids' fringe fields – OFF. Chromatic sextupoles SX, SZ – ON.



DA simulations & measurements



Measurements @ E=500 MeV by pickups of kicked beam loss. "Flat" & "2-möbius" modes.

Experimental summary (0)

- VEPP-2000 is working
- «Round beams» not a bad idea!
- Max. Lumi. achieved $1*10^{31}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹ at φ meson energy in 2008 run and $2*10^{31}$ cm⁻²s⁻¹ at E=2x975 MeV in 2011
- Potentially 2*10³¹ cm⁻²s⁻¹ possible at φ and 1.6*10³² cm⁻²s⁻¹ at 2 GeV
- More positrons required! VEPP-5 injection complex will supply them in near future.

Experimental summary (1)

- After orbit and optics correction @ 509 MeV, with e+ currents <40mA as limited by injection, the maximum ecurrent at collision raised to ~50 mA,
- Tunes were close to the coupling resonance and separation v1 – v2 = 0.02 was caused by noncompensated solenoids needed to form the circular betatron modes. Coupling in the arcs was corrected to 1/10 of that separation.
- Different tunes (v1 + v2)/2 = 0.11 0.15 were tried, the limiting strong-beam current was 40% sensitive to the tune. Mostly the beam-beam measurements were done with (v1 + v2)/2 = 0.125 and $\beta^*=5$ cm. Lower tunes are desirable, not available as yet.

Experimental summary (2)

- Equal emittances were obtained with the arc tunes set exactly on resonance, resulting in round beam shape @IP with $\sigma \sim 50 \ \mu m$
- 10^{10} particles correspond to 20 mA, f₀ = 12.3 MHz, the expected luminosity with 20 x 20 mA² comes to L = 4x10³⁰ cm⁻²s⁻¹ and the nominal ξ = 0.04
- The peak lumi showed the record of ~ 10^{31} cm⁻²s⁻¹, while the max $\xi > 0.08$ was recorded in the weakstrong measurement. $\xi \sim 0.1$ was limited by the weak beam lifetime rather than beam-size blowup @IP

Experimental summary (3)

- Very preliminary: the specific luminosity did not degrade in the available range of ξ.
- The strong-strong measurement showed continuous current dependence of beam sizes, no evidence of strong flip-flop effects.
- The weak-strong beam size measurement at 4 positions around the ring provided plenty of data for analysis of the dynamic beta-function

Future work

- The simulation clearly predicts better lifetime for lower tunes, we
 urgently need understanding of problems with optics at tunes < 0.11
- Optimization of sextupoles, although not needed for DA, may he helpful for the beam tails at collision: to be checked in the weak-strong simulation.
- Strong-strong simulation is important, however a correct account of the natural chromaticity is needed in the code.
- More beam-beam studies needed to improve understanding of currentdependent beam sizes
- Basic round beam option + + - should be experimentally tried out
- High-luminosity operation becomes possible only after the new linac-based Injection Complex lifts the positron production limit

Layout of injection using VEPP-5



Weak-strong simulation

Deformation of the weak beam distribution is in question. The simulation model for D.Shatilov's "Lifetrack" code:

- 1) 2-period lattice with the chromaticity correction sextupoles, synchrotron oscillations, longitudinal slicing
- 2) Whatever variations, E = 509 MeV and constant β^* = 5 cm, σ_7 = 17mm, emittances ~46 48 nm
- 3) $\bar{\text{Tracking for } 10^4 \text{ damping times } (\tau_{x,v} \sim 350,000 \text{ turns} \sim 28 \text{ ms})}$
- 4) Arc is tracked by P.Piminov's code, i.e. the natural chromaticity is correctly simulated, sextupoles (and other machine nonlinearities) can be included. Comparison with the previous "no sextupole" option is available.

Things to be avoided in round colliding beam operation (1)

Detuning from the coupling resonance



Things to be avoided in round colliding beam operation (2)

Detuning from the coupling resonance



Things to be avoided in round colliding beam operation (3)

Large non-compensation of the solenoidal field



Different tune separation caused by solenoids

Things to be avoided in round colliding beam operation (4)

Non-round beta-functions @IP



Things to be avoided in round colliding beam operation (5) x-y coupling in the arcs



Tune scan along the diagonal

...reveals almost constant specific luminosity! Namely, $L = 1x10^{28} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\text{mA}^{-2}$ Only the beam tails expand at higher tunes and cause limitation of the beam lifetime







@50mA, with sextupoles: tune dependence of the tails

(v1+v2)/2



@50mA, without sextupoles: very weak beam-beam effect (v1+v2)/2v2k_diag011_50ma_s0 v2k_diag012_50ma_s0 v2k_diag0125_50ma_s0 ¹⁴ 0.12 14 0.125 0.11 ₹8 ₹8 Ax AX Ax v2k_diag013_50ma_s0 v2k_diag014_50ma_s0 v2k_diag015_50ma_s0 0.14 0.15 0.13 ₹8 ₹8 ≈8 Π п 16σ Ax Ax Ax

Sextupoles

To preserve the angular momentum, the linear optics must be an equivalent of axi-symmetric focusing and rotation (commutable).

However, a sextupole changes the angular momentum

Field:
$$B_x = 2xy$$
 Kick: $k_x = -(x^2 - y^2)$

 $B_y = x^2 - y^2$ The angular momentum and its change: $k_y = 2xy$

$$M = xy' - yx'$$
$$\Delta M = x \Delta y' - y \Delta x' = xk_y - yk_x = 3x^2y - y^3$$

Thus, the change in the angular momentum has the same form as the sextupole Hamiltonian. To 1st order in the sextupole strength, minimization of the sextupole harmonic integral improves the angular momentum conservation.

Dynamic beta, emittance and size

(v1 + v2)/2 = 0.125



Dynamic beta, emittance and size



Dynamic beta and sizes at the e+ beam-size monitors



Weak-strong beam-beam simulation by D.Shatilov



arc tunes separation Ax02 by the doublet (D3,F3) & F1 lenses, beta^{*}x,y kept equal; circular modes and a wider tune split produced by twist 0.79kGs*66.5524cm: Qx = 4.1115, Qy=2.0893,

```
alpha = 0.036, Qs = 0.0028, beta<sup>*</sup>=4.5cm
bunch length: 1.74cm (50kV RF), dE/Eo = 3.5e-04
emittances: Ex = 8.464e-06, Ey = 3.065e-06 cm<sup>*</sup>rad
decrements: dx = 1.905e-06, dy = 1.998e-06, de = 4.318e-06 (per 1/2 turn)
```

Thanks a lot for your attention!